Episodic, but not semantic, autobiographical memory is reduced in amnestic mild cognitive impairment
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Abstract

Amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) is characterized by decline in anterograde memory as measured by the ability to learn and remember new information. We investigated whether retrograde memory for autobiographical information was affected by aMCI. Eighteen control (age 66–84 years) and 17 aMCI (age 66–84 years) participants described a personal event from each of the five periods across the lifespan. These events were transcribed and scored according to procedures that separate episodic (specific happenings) from semantic (general knowledge) elements of autobiographical memory. Although both groups generated protocols of similar length, the composition of autobiographical recall differentiated the groups. The aMCI group protocols were characterized by reduced episodic and increased semantic information relative to the control group. Both groups showed a similar pattern of recall across time periods, with no evidence that the aMCI group had more difficulty recalling recent, rather than remote, life events. These results indicate that episodic and semantic autobiographical memories are differentially affected by the early brain changes associated with aMCI. Reduced autobiographical episodic memories in aMCI may be the result of medial temporal lobe dysfunction, consistent with multiple trace theory, or alternatively, could be related to dysfunction of a wider related network of neocortical structures. In contrast, the preservation of autobiographical semantic memories in aMCI suggests neural systems, such as lateral temporal cortex, that support these memories, may remain relatively intact.

1. Introduction

Amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) is a high-risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Gauthier et al., 2006; Petersen et al., 2001) and is characterized by decline in anterograde memory as measured by the ability to learn and remember new information. In contrast to AD, in aMCI there is no evidence of decline, relative to same aged peers, in cognitive domains outside of memory and no functional decline involving daily activities (reviewed in Petersen, 2004). Whether there is also a decline in remote, episodic memory, however, is not known. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether there is any loss of remote memory in aMCI, particularly autobiographical memory, since in many patients with focal lesions involving the medial temporal lobes, anterograde amnesia often is accompanied by loss of remote memories.

Most behavioural studies indicate that the degree of impairment exhibited by individuals with aMCI on various types of tests of memory for recently acquired information, is intermediate to normal aging and AD (e.g., Perri, Carlesimo, Serra, & Caltagirone, 2005; Troyer & Murphy, 2007). The same can be said of the neuroanatomical changes associated with aMCI, which show region-specific volume loss in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex of the medial temporal lobes that are again intermediate to normal aging and AD (reviewed in Masdeu, Zubieta, & Arbizu, 2005). Not surprisingly, the volumes of the hippocampus and its related structures are correlated with anterograde memory performance in normal aging (Rosen et al., 2003; Van Petten, 2004) and in MCI (Chetelat et al., 2003), and atrophy in these regions is a sensitive predictor of progression from aMCI to AD (deToledo-Morrell, Goncharova, Dickerson, Wilson, & Bennett, 2000; Jack et al., 1999; Killiany et al., 2000). There is also evidence that semantic memory, such as retrieval of names of famous faces or names of animals, for example, is reduced in MCI (e.g., Dudas, Clague, Thompson, Graham, & Hodges, 2005; Estévez-González et al., 2004; Murphy, Rich, & Troyer, 2006). Reduced semantic memory in MCI is consistent with
neuroanatomical evidence of neuropathology extending beyond the hippocampal region into a network of related, neocortical structures that also show degeneration, albeit more marked, in Alzheimer’s disease (Chetelat & Baron, 2003; Chetelat et al., 2002; Karas et al., 2004). Together, these studies indicate that episodic memory for recently acquired information and semantic memory for remote impersonal information (e.g., news events, famous faces) are affected in MCI and may be related to early degenerative changes in a network that extends from the medial temporal lobe to related neocortical regions.

In this study, we were interested in whether there is any remote memory loss for personal past events because the changes in the medial temporal lobes and related areas noted in MCI correspond closely to the hippocampal-neocortical network implicated in lesion and functional neuroimaging studies of autobiographical memory (reviewed in Maguire, 2001; Moscovitch et al., 2005; Svoboda, McKinnon, & Levine, 2006). We were further interested in whether any memory loss for personal past events, if evident, affects episodic and semantic autobiographical memory equally. Similar to laboratory tasks of episodic and semantic memory, naturalistic autobiographical discourse can be used to examine memory for episodic and semantic information. For example, in recounting your childhood, remembering an autobiographical event when you were sent to the corner for talking out of turn is episodic; remembering the name of your first grade teacher is semantic. Substantial research suggests that episodic and semantic autobiographical memory can be dissociated (Tulving, 2002; Wheeler, Stuss, & Tulving, 1997). Moreover, neuropsychological studies of patients (Gilboa et al., 2005; Kapur, Thompson, Kartsounis, & Abbott, 1999; Moscovitch et al., 2005), and functional neuroimaging studies of healthy adults (Maguire, 2001; Svoboda et al., 2006), suggest that the two forms of autobiographical memory differ in nature of the recruitment of brain regions, with medial temporal lobes especially involved in episodic, and lateral temporal cortex (particularly on the left) especially involved in semantic, autobiographical memory.

Past research investigating autobiographical memory in normal aging has shown that age-related decline is particularly marked in episodic, as compared to semantic, autobiographical memory (Levine, Svoboda, Hay, Winocur, & Moscovitch, 2002; Piolino, Desgranges, Benali, & Eustache, 2002). It is well established that autobiographical memory is impaired in AD (e.g., Eustache et al., 2004; Meeter, Eijacksers, & Mulder, 2006; Piolino et al., 2003; Starkstein, Boller, & Garau, 2005), with both personal semantic and episodic autobiographical recall affected (Hou, Miller, & Kramer, 2005; Ivanou, Cooper, Shanks, & Venneri, 2006). Further, there is evidence suggesting these different forms of autobiographical memory are differentially correlated with region-specific brain volume loss in AD: semantic autobiographical memory is correlated with volume loss in bilateral anterior and posterior lateral temporal cortex (particularly on the left) and right frontal cortex, and episodic autobiographical memory is correlated with bilateral medial temporal regions and anterior lateral temporal cortex, particularly on the right (Gilboa et al., 2005). Consistent with this observation, Fujii, Moscovitch, and Nadel (2000), in reviewing the literature on patients with focal lesions, noted that remote episodic autobiographical memory is impaired even with lesions confined to the medial temporal lobes whereas remote personal semantic memories do not become affected until the lesion extends into lateral temporal cortex.

In the existing literature, most investigations of autobiographical memory use separate tests to assess memory for episodic events and memory for personal semantics (e.g., names of friends, locations lived, schools attended, etc.), with the most widely used measure being the Autobiographical Memory Interview (AMI; Kopelman, Wilson, & Baddeley, 1989). These separate measures appear to artificially divide these two forms of autobiographical memory, which co-occur and interact in naturalistic autobiographical discourse, assessing them with tasks unmatched in sensitivity, content, and psychometric characteristics. Furthermore, episodic autobiographical memory is characterized by ordinal scale ratings that encompass both generic (e.g., repeated or not temporally specific) and specific autobiographical events. While performance on such measures is considered to reflect episodic autobiographical memory, contamination by semantic autobiographical memory cannot be ruled out.

The Autobiographical Interview (Levine et al., 2002), circumvents the above limitations by deriving both episodic and semantic information using the same test. This is accomplished with a reliable system for classifying episodic and semantic details from within a single transcribed autobiographical narrative. Consistent with research using the AMI developed by Kopelman et al. (1989) or closely similar variations (i.e., Bayley, Hopkins, & Squire, 2006; Gilboa et al., 2005; Meeter et al., 2006; Piolino et al., 2002), the Autobiographical Interview measure has proven to be sensitive to normal aging (Levine et al., 2002), changes due to dementia (Moscovitch, Black, Miller, Moscovitch, & Levine, 2006; McKinnon et al., 2008), emotion manipulation (St-Jacques & Levine, 2007), and especially to medial temporal lobe damage (Addis, Moscovitch, & McAndrews, 2007; Kirwan, Bayley, Galván, & Squire, 2008; Rosenbaum, McKinnon, Levine, & Moscovitch, 2004; Rosenbaum et al., 2008; Steinworth, Levine, & Corkin, 2005).

The goal of the present study was to assess the effect of aMCI on episodic and semantic autobiographical memory for events across the lifespan. Two groups of older adults, one with age normal memory (controls) and one with amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI), were administered an Autobiographical Interview, requiring them to recollect a personal past event for each of five different life periods ranging from early childhood to the past year.

We hypothesized that the aMCI group would produce less autobiographical information overall, as compared to controls, and that this would be due to impoverished output for episodic details in the aMCI group. Reduced episodic output would be in keeping with the fact that early changes in aMCI predominate in the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus (Masdeu et al., 2005), structures believed to be critical to the ability to recall personal episodic events (Moscovitch et al., 2005), though different theories make different predictions about its temporal extent (for review of an alternative account, see Squire & Bayley, 2007). Although the neuropathology of MCI has been shown to extend beyond the hippocampus (e.g., Chetelat & Baron, 2003), these extended changes are not as profound and consequently more difficult to quantify. One might expect that personal semantic memory, theorized to be less dependent on the hippocampus, would be less affected by the neuropathology associated with MCI. According to two influential theories of memory, multiple trace theory-MIT (Nadel & Moscovitch, 1997) and consolidation theory (Squire, 1992; Squire & Alvarez, 1995), the hippocampus initially contributes to retention and retrieval of semantic memory, but is not needed for it, so that semantic memory should be spared relative to episodic memory. Because personal semantic memory is spared in people with damage restricted to the medial temporal lobes with deficits emerging only when lateral temporal cortex is involved (Fujii et al., 2000), we expected that people with aMCI would have relatively preserved personal semantic memories.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Older adults with age normal memory (controls) and with mild memory decline greater than expected for their age (aMCI) were recruited for this study. A general
estimate of cognitive status was obtained with the Mini–Mental Status Examination (MMSE; Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975), and an estimate of verbal intellectual ability was obtained for all participants with a vocabulary test (Wechsler, 1997; 3 controls received the Shipley, 1946).

2.1. Control group

Eighteen healthy older adults (age 66–84 years), 14 recruited from community talks, newspaper advertisements, and databases of research volunteers. On interview we verified that there was no history of neurological, medical, or psychiatric disorder, substance abuse, or medications affecting cognition. Performance was within normal limits for (a) age and education on measures of: (a) general cognitive status (MMSE; Folstein et al., 1975); (b) immediate and delayed memory (Hikops Verbal Learning Test–Revised; HVLT–R; Brandt & Benedict, 2001); and (c) self-reported mood (i.e., Geriatric Depression Scale, GDS; Yesavage et al., 1983; Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, HADS; Zigmund & Snith, 1958; or clinical interview).

2.1.2. aMCI group

Seventeen individuals (age 66–84 years), 14 recruited from physician referrals and 3 from databases of research volunteers and newspaper advertisement, were classified as aMCI by consensus (K.J.M. & A.K.T.) according to criteria suggested by Petersen (2004). Specifically, each participant: (a) reported a memory complaint during an interview; (b) exhibited objective memory impairment for age on cognitive testing (i.e., HVLT–R; Brief Visuospatial Memory Test–Revised, BVMT–R; Benedict, 1997; Logical Memory or Verbal Paired–Associates; Wechsler, 1987), defined as memory scores on two or more memory measures lower than expected based on age, education, and estimated IQ (Petersen, 2004). No particular cut-off score was used, although as Table 1 shows, recall scores on the HVLT–R were, on average, 1.5 standard deviations lower than demonstrated verbal ability in the aMCI group; (c) demonstrated normal general cognitive status for age and education, that is, performance was within 1 standard deviation of the mean based on age normative data, on the MMSE and on measures of attention (Digit Span; Wechsler, 1997), confrontation naming (Boston Naming Test; Kaplan, Goodglass, & Weintraub, 1983), visuospatial construction (Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure copy; Spreen & Strauss, 1998), and Trail Making Test (Spreen & Strauss, 1998; or Delis, Kaplan, & Kramer, 2001); (d) no substantial interference with normal daily activities as determined by detailed clinical interview (e.g., personal banking, grocery shopping); and (f) no dementia, determined by taking into consideration all previous criteria with specific emphasis on the absence of functional impairment. An additional required criterion included the absence of medical or psychiatric conditions that could account for the memory decline, other than possible incipient AD, determined by review of medical history and current self-reported mood status on the GDS or HADS.

As Table 1 shows there was no significant difference in the ratio of females to males between the two participant groups $\chi^2=0.72; p<0.39$ nor were there group differences on demographic variables relating to age, education, estimated verbal ability, and a general index of cognitive status (all $p>0.05$).

2.2. Procedure

Participants were given the Autobiographical Interview test (see Levine et al., 2002) as part of a larger battery of tests. They were asked to tell the examiner about a personal past memory event that happened at a specific time and place during your early childhood (up to age 11)." The participant was then allowed to speak without interruption until he or she was finished or 5 min had elapsed, whichever came first. General probes were given to encourage recall of detailed information, particularly if the participant had trouble coming up with a specific detailed memory or provided a very brief recollection. The examiner adhered to a strict protocol for administering general probes, which, while not limited in number, were limited in nature to non-specific statements and clarifications of instructions (e.g., "Is there anything else you can tell me? Do you remember any other details?"). Because of time constraints, the specific probing condition, described in Levine et al. (2002), that involves a structured interview designed to assess retrieval support effects, was not administered.

Responses were audio-recorded and later transcribed for scoring. The standardized scoring protocol developed by Levine et al. (2002) is available upon request (from B.L.). Scoring involved segmenting each event recalled into details. Details were defined as unique occurrences, observations, or thoughts, typically bound within a grammatical unit. These details were then categorized as internal or external. The identification of internal and external details and their subtypes is described subsequently, and detail scoring is additionally illustrated in two examples of autobiographical recall, one generated by a participant with aMCI and one generated by a control participant (see Appendix A).

Internal details were defined as those details about the main memory event described that were specific to time and place; thus, internal details reflect episodic re-experiencing of the incident. These internal/episodic details were subcategorized into: (a) event (happenings, people involved, actions and reactions of self and others, nature of the environment, i.e., weather conditions); (b) place (time, date, season, or time of day references); (d) perceptual (sensory information relating to sights, sounds, smells, etc.) and (e) emotion/thought (feelings and thoughts relating to the event).

External details pertained to extraneous information that was not uniquely specific to the main memory event being described and not anchored to the time and place of the incident of interest. These external details were further subcategorized into: (a) semantic (general facts/knowledge related to the context of the event), (b) a detail repetition, (c) details concerning unrelated events and (d) other (editorializing, metacognitive statements).

The detail subtypes within each category were summed to form internal and external detail composite scores, which were the primary measures of interest. The ratio of internal-to-total details generated was also calculated (i.e., internal composite/(internal composite + external composite)) to provide information about the proportion of details per memory out of the total number of details generated that reflected episodic re-experiencing.

Inter-rater reliability for two independent raters on a subset of one memory from each of 10 participants (3 controls and 7 aMCI) indicated high agreement with respective coefficients ($r$) of 0.96 and 0.99 for the episodic and semantic detail composite scores.

Autobiographical narratives reflect personal subjective experiences that are by nature difficult to verify. Thus, recall accuracy is typically not analyzed in procedures investigating personal remote memories (e.g., Kopenlauf et al., Levine et al., 2002; Meeter et al., 2006; Piolino et al., 2002; and for further discussion of this issue, see Moscovitch, Nadell, Winocur, Gilboa, & Rosenbaum, 2006). Confabulation in aMCI was considered an unlikely influence as this is not an associated feature. Thus, our emphasis was not on accuracy, but rather on how details were distributed across internal and external categories among the participant groups.

For our main analyses, we used mixed-design ANOVAs with planned comparisons conducted at $p<0.05$. These analyses were conducted with data pooled across the between-subject factor of sex because no significant main effects or interpretable interactions were obtained for analyses involving this factor. To explore possible relations between autobiographical variables and traditional neuropsychological measures, we conducted specific correlational analyses. We also calculated sensitivity and specificity of autobiographical scores in classifying participants as normal controls versus individuals with aMCI in order to assess the degree to which performance on the Autobiographical Interview can differentiate these two participant groups.

### 3. Results

As we shall show in the subsequently described statistical analyses, the aMCI group produced autobiographical narratives that were characterized by reduced internal (episodic) and increased external details, which were predominantly semantic, relative to the control group.

The total number of details generated, summed over all life periods, was comparable between the groups (aMCI $M=161.29$,...
A main effect of detail data reported by Levine et al. (2002) in normal older and younger participants more external details when recollecting personal events. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. aMCI, Amnestic mild cognitive impairment.

S.D. = 61.76 and control M = 152.22; S.D. = 59.07; F(1,33) = 0.20, p = 0.66, η² = 0.01, indicating that both groups produced protocols of similar lengths. There was a main effect of life period, F(4,132) = 4.12, p < 0.01, η² = 0.11, whereby fewer details were generated in the earlier life periods as compared to the later life periods (<11 years M = 25.54; S.D. = 12.18; 11–18 years M = 29.17; S.D. = 13.09; 18–30 years M = 33.02; S.D. = 15.32; 30–55 years M = 33.60; S.D. = 16.17; past year M = 35.29; S.D. = 20.20). A group-by-detail composite score interaction, F(1,33) = 16.12, p < 0.001, η² = 0.33, revealed that the control group recalled more internal details (M = 89.83; S.D. = 39.21) than the aMCI group (M = 63.18; S.D. = 22.12) whereas the aMCI group recalled more external details (M = 98.12; S.D. = 54.92) than the control group (M = 62.39; S.D. = 27.42) (see Fig. 1A and B). There was no significant interaction involving life period, indicating that the group effects were consistent across the lifespan.

We next examined the effects of specific detail categories within each composite score and found the pattern of predominant detail subtypes (seen in Fig. 2A and B) was consistent with data reported by Levine et al. (2002) in normal older and younger adults. For internal details, there was a main effect of detail category (F(4,30) = 64.91, p < 0.001, η² = 0.89), with event details predominating over other detail types (all p’s < 0.001). The detail-category-by-group interaction was not significant (F(4,30) = 1.78, p = 0.16, η² = 0.09). There was a main effect of external detail category (F(3,30) = 40.36, p < 0.001, η² = 0.78), but this was qualified by a significant interaction with group (F(3,30) = 5.59, p = 0.01, η² = 0.35). For each group, and consistent with past research (e.g., Levine et al., 2002), semantic details predominated over all other detail types (all p’s < 0.05). The interaction was due to a significant elevation of semantic details for the aMCI group over the control group (p < 0.001).

As seen in Fig. 3, and consistent with the previously described interaction, the internal-to-total detail ratio was significantly different between groups, F(1,33) = 10.95, p < 0.01, η² = 0.25, indicating control group autobiographical memories contained more episodic re-experiencing as compared to the aMCI group. There was no effect of life period, F(4,132) = 1.41, p = 0.34, η² = 0.03, and no interaction, F(4,132) = 0.76, p = 0.55, η² = 0.02, for the ratio data.

Correlations were calculated, within the aMCI group, between the internal-to-total ratio score and selected neuropsychological measures, including delayed recall on HVLT-R and BVMT-R, semantic and phonemic fluency, Boston Naming Test, and Trails switching. All correlations were small to medium in size (r’s = 0.22–0.44), and none were statistically significant (p’s = 0.10–0.39), which is not surprising given the small sample size of 17.

Sensitivity and specificity in classifying aMCI and control participants were also calculated using the internal-to-total ratio score averaged across the five time periods. We selected a cutoff score of 0.48, because this score showed the highest overall accuracy (i.e., 86%; 95% confidence interval = 74–97%) in classifying the two participant groups. This score showed a sensitivity of 76% (i.e., 13 of 17 participants with aMCI scored below the cutoff) and a specificity of 94% (i.e., 17 of 18 control participants scored at or above the cutoff).

The ratio of internal-to-total number of details generated, representing an index of episodic re-experiencing in autobiographical recall, shows no clear temporal gradient and is greater in the control as compared to the aMCI group. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. aMCI, Amnestic mild cognitive impairment.
4. Discussion

The performance of our aMCI participants indicates that memory for autobiographical episodes is impaired whereas personal semantic memory remains relatively preserved. Compared to controls, the aMCI group produced fewer episodic, event-specific details in their recollections, as indicated by their internal detail composite score. By contrast, their external detail score was elevated relative to controls, which was due primarily to increases in the number of semantic details the aMCI group produced. Importantly, the total number of details across all categories was equivalent in the two groups, indicating that the deficit that is observed cannot be ascribed to general loss of fluency or lack of motivation on the part of people with aMCI.

Reduced episodic but elevated semantic autobiographical memory in aMCI resembles the pattern observed for normal aging on this task, whereby older adults achieved output comparable to that of younger adults, but produced memories that contained fewer episodic and more semantic details (Levine et al., 2002). This pattern simply is magnified further in aMCI. By contrast, in AD, both personal semantics and episodic event memory are impaired. Thus, our results are consistent with the common observation that the impairment in aMCI is intermediate to that of normal aging and AD, although it remains to be seen whether semantic details as assessed by the Autobiographical Interview are reduced or elevated in patients with AD.

The findings are consistent with our predictions based on research showing that region-specific atrophy predominates in the hippocampus and related structures (see Masdeu et al., 2005) and on predictions from Nadel and Moscovitch’s (1997) multiple trace theory (MTT) that episodic memory is disproportionately affected, as compared to personal semantic memory, by hippocampal damage. MTT posits that the hippocampus is necessary for the retrieval of both anterograde and retrograde episodic information, but not for established (retrograde) semantic knowledge. According to MTT and other theories (McClelland, McNaughton, & O’Reilly, 1995), the neocortex extracts regularities across episodes to form the basis of semantic memory, whereas details that are unique to a particular episode continue to be dependent on the hippocampus. The AI captures this distinction in its scoring procedure. Though separable conceptually and neurologically, episodic and semantic memory, nonetheless, interact with one another under most conditions in normal people, such that one can detect the influence of one on the other (see Westmacott, Black, Freedman, & Moscovitch, 2003; Westmacott & Moscovitch, 2003, and discussion in Moscovitch et al., 2005).

There was no evidence of a temporal gradient across life periods with reduced recall of recent as compared to remote events and no interactions with life period. Our results indicate that memories are affected across the entire lifespan with the most remote events perhaps being the most forgotten, because both aMCI and normal controls generated more details for recent than remote life periods; this pattern is similar to previous reports investigating autobiographical memory and normal aging (e.g., Levine et al., 2002; Piolino et al., 2002). Participants only recalled one event per time period. This event was presumably the most accessible and therefore the most likely to yield detailed recollection, whereas additional events may have been less accessible to recall. We have shown that recall of additional events does not alter the age-related pattern of reduced episodic and increased semantic details (St.-Jacques & Levine, 2007). Overall, the findings are consistent with MTT which proposes that the hippocampus is necessary for episodic re-experiencing regardless of the age of the memory, but not for retention and retrieval of remote semantic knowledge (reviewed in Moscovitch et al., 2005).

Alternatively, it is possible that extensive retrograde amnesia for detailed autobiographical episodes is related not only to early degeneration of the medial temporal lobes, but also to degeneration of a related network of neocortical structures implicated in retrieval of autobiographical memories (Addis, McIntosh, Moscovitch, Crawley, & McAndrews, 2004; Addis et al., 2007; Bright et al., 2006; Gilboa, Winocur, Grady, Hevenor, & Moscovitch, 2004; Maguire, Henson, Mummery, & Frith, 2001; Moscovitch et al., 2005; Svoboda et al., 2006). These structures, which include the medial and anterior temporal lobes, inferior parietal lobules, regions around retrosplenial cortex and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex are part of the default system (Fox & Raichle, 2002; Schacter, Addis, & Buckner, 2007) that typically also is affected in Alzheimer’s disease (Buckner et al., 2005). Thus, the loss of detailed autobiographical memories we observed in people with aMCI may additionally reflect some very early dysfunction of these regions.

The semantic autobiographical details provided by our aMCI participants pertained to information not tied to a spatial or temporal context and, thus, not dependent on the hippocampus. This would suggest that whereas aMCI might be associated with impaired remote semantic memory related to impersonal information, such as famous faces (reviewed previously), it does not appear to affect autobiographical memory for personal semantic information, especially information connected to a personally relevant event. This finding is consistent with observation from patients with focal lesions involving the medial temporal lobes (Rosenbaum et al., 2008; Steinworth et al., 2005). Although impaired memory for public events and personalities is observed when lesions extend beyond the hippocampus into the medial temporal lobes, loss of personal semantic information is not evident until the lateral temporal cortex is implicated (Fujii et al., 2000). The significant elevation of semantic autobiographical details in aMCI may reflect either compensation for lack of episodic details or a form of disinhibition, perhaps because they are over-rehearsed and thus more readily available.

In our clinical experience, the memory complaints of clients with aMCI are largely focused on anterograde episodic memory errors relating to failures to remember to carry out intentions, misplacing objects, and difficulty recalling the details of recently acquired information, as well as retrograde semantic memory failures relating to names of people and occasionally to word-finding problems in general conversation. These complaints are consistent with objective weaknesses reported in the literature. Interestingly, individuals with aMCI often contrast their subjective memory failures with their perceived strengths in having a robust memory for remote information relating to their personal past. The current findings suggest that the absence of subjective complaints regarding autobiographical memory is related to their intact access to semantic autobiographical information, as well as access to episodic details (although reduced relative to controls). Previous research into the neural substrates supporting memory indicates that there are shared and unique regions of involvement in mediating episodic and semantic autobiographical and non-autobiographical memory (for review see Svoboda et al., 2006).

The calculations of sensitivity and specificity using our measure of autobiographical recall, specifically the internal-to-total-detail ratio score, indicated that this measure was equivalent to other recall scores in categorizing aMCI and control participants. Our previous research (Troyer et al., 2008a) showed accuracies of 83% and 92 when using two different associative recall tasks. Both of these accuracies overlap with the 86% accuracy (confidence interval = 74–97%) obtained from our measure of autobiographical recall in the present study. We plan to follow these patients to see if the
extent of impairment and compensation is predictive of the onset of AD.

Autobiographical memories over the lifespan are comprised of episodic and semantic components that are often tied to an emotional context. These memories are believed to be the means by which we formulate our sense of self and of continuity, and also guide our future behaviour (Conway, 1997; Tulving, 2002). Impaired autobiographical memory in AD is likely a contributing factor to functional decline in this population. Individuals with aMCI have a high probability (i.e., more than 80% over six years) of developing a future dementia due to AD (Petersen et al., 2001). We have attempted to prolong the level of independence in aMCI individuals through memory interventions aimed at addressing failures of recent memory (Troyer, Murphy, Anderson, Moscovitch, & Craik, 2008) which have obvious functional ramifications (e.g., failure to carry out an intended activity, such as taking medication). The current results indicate intervention directed toward enhancing and preserving episodic re-experiencing of personal past memories in aMCI is also worthy of consideration. Research into providing direction in how to preserve memory for personal past events may also affect the course of functional decline in those with incipient AD by promoting maintenance of awareness of self in temporal context.

In conclusion, the findings reported here show that episodic and semantic autobiographical memories are differentially affected by the early brain changes associated with aMCI, with a significant reduction in episodic as compared to semantic content. These results afford us important insights into how the earliest brain changes associated with the neuropathology of AD evolve and affect memory systems in the brain. Interpretation of these findings within the context of multiple trace theory and autobiographical memory implicates dysfunction of a neural network centred on the medial temporal lobe as predominantly affecting episodic autobiographical memories with possibly preserved neural integrity in systems, such as lateral temporal cortex, that support semantic autobiographical memory.
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Appendix A

Selected representative sections from actual protocols generated by a control participant and a participant with amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) for an event that occurred within the last year. These examples, demonstrate the application of the scoring method and the pattern whereby aMCI group protocols show reduced internal and increased external information relative to the control group. Please see methods section for description of these detail types and categories.
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